# Structural study of the rare earth compounds: Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>, NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, prepared by Chemical Bath Deposition, and its correlation with crystal growth mechanism

M. Chávez Portillo, M.A. Vicencio Garrido, Y. Ramos Reynoso, H. Juárez Santiesteban, A. Reyes Díaz, O. Portillo Moreno

We present the preliminary theoretical-experimental study of the structural properties for rare earths: hydroxide, hydroxycarbonate and Oxide; Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>, NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders, respectively. In the experimental preparation, Chemical Bath Deposition (CBD) technique is applied at ~20  $\pm 2$  °C. In our experimental conditions, the parameters of crystal growth are: concentration of progenitor reagents, stirring, pH and temperature, remain constant. The chemical kinetics of crystal growth is systematically examined at key synthesis steps, considering the physicochemical and electrodynamic parameters: ionic radii, electron affinity, and hydration enthalpy of the rare earth (Sm<sup>3+</sup>, Nd<sup>3+</sup>, Ce<sup>3+</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup>) cation. The main objective of this report is to investigate the theoretical-experimental correlation associated with the experimental conditions and the physicochemical parameters in the synthesis of hydroxide, hydrocarbonates and oxide. The crystallographic study of the powders is carried out using the X-ray Diffraction (XDR) technique. Analyzing the crystal phase, it is found that Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> and NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> present hexagonal crystal phase, CeO<sub>2</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are identified cubic crystal structure. The quantified grain size turns out to be: Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>: ~1.40 - 2.03 nm, NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>: ~14.33 - 22.25 nm; CeO<sub>2</sub>: ~1.65 - 3.05 nm; and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: ~3.72 - 6.08 nm. The Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) is: Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>: ~2.47 - 5.10×10<sup>17</sup> lines/m<sup>2</sup>; NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>: 2.01 - 4.68×10<sup>15</sup> lines/m<sup>2</sup>; CeO<sub>2</sub>: 1.07 - 3.67×10<sup>17</sup> lines/m<sup>2</sup>.

## Introduction

Single crystal hydroxide, hydrocarbonates and oxides containing rare earths (RE) present diverse morphology, chemical composition, structural and optical properties of interest to the scientific community [1]. The structural properties of inorganic RE nanocrystals are systematically examined by various research groups and theoreticalexperimental studies have been carried out, since they show a significant optical-structural correlation [2]. It is of primary interest to investigate the structural properties of nanocrystals; these are significantly correlated with the optical response. Consequently, RE inorganic nanocrystals are strong candidates for their application as base material in the construction of optoelectronic devices, as well as in a variety of applications [3,4]. On the other hand, crystal growth is one interesting and vitally important feature science, which has contributed to significant improvements in producing nanocrystals with specific properties [5]. However, the synthesis conditions of crystal growth require systematic and optimal control of the physicochemical and thermodynamic parameters depending on the applied technique [6,7,8]. Some interesting reports of crystal growth are available investigating RE cations by systematic study and their experimental theoretical correlation with physicochemical parameters [9]. Furthermore, the inorganic nanocrystals of RE are systematically prepared, which allows monitoring the different parameters associated with crystal growth, with the aim of controlling the decrease in grain size (GS). Therefore, to reduce the relative cost between other parameters associated with the synthesis and the experimental equipment used, crystal growth parameters can be systematically controlled. A recently proposed mechanism of grain boundary migration known as the "mixed control mechanism" and the associated principles of microstructural evolution represent the basis of the solidstate single crystal growth technique [10]. Various ER synthesis techniques, both chemical and physical; have been reported in the last decades: hydrothermal synthesis [11],

spray pyrolysis technique [12], thermal decomposition [13], Chemical Bath Deposition (CBD) technique [7,8,]. CBD technique has been applied in the preparation of various nanocrystals; Semiconductors [16], ER oxides [7,8,14,15]. In this paper we systematically apply the CBD technique for the synthesis in aqueous solution of four RE, and the crystal growth parameters constant throughout the chemical synthesis process. The inorganic nanocrystals examined here are: samarium hydroxide Sm(OH)3, neodymium hydro carbonates (NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>), cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>), and holmium oxide (Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were previously reported [7,8,14,17], now focuses on the study related to physicochemical parameters in chemical synthesis. Therefore, our study focuses specifically on the systematic structural analysis associated at crystalline parameters that govern the chemical kinetics performed. In particular, our study focuses specifically on

> M. Chávez Portillo Universidad Politécnica de Puebla, Cuanalá, Puebla, 72640, México.

M.A. Vicencio Garrido <sup>(10)</sup>, Y. Ramos Reynoso <sup>(10)</sup>, H. Juárez Santiesteban <sup>(10)</sup> Centro de Investigación en Dispositivos Semiconductores, Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla Puebla, Puebla, 72592, México.

> A. Reyes Díaz Universidad de Sonora Hermosillo, Sonora, 83000, México.

# <u>O. Portillo Moreno 🖂 回</u>

Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla Puebla, Puebla, 72570, México.

Received: September 27th, 2022 Accepted: September 15th, 2023 Published: September 20th, 2023 © 2023 by the authors. Creative Commons Attribution https://doi.org/10.47566/2023\_syv36\_1-230901 the systematic structural analysis associated with the crystalline parameters that govern the chemical kinetics performed in aqueous solution. In this report, we consider the creation of the coordination complex cation in the critical step of chemical synthesis. The creation of the corresponding coordination complex ion of chemical formula  $[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$ , (where  $M = Sm^{3+}$ ,  $Nd^{3+}$ ,  $Ce^{3+}$ , and  $Ho^{3+}$ ), which is a key chemical parameter in the intermediate stage of chemical kinetics. The experimental characterization of the structural part is investigated by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) technique. Taking XRD diffractograms, then using the Debye-Scherer equation GS is quantified numerically. The morphology and optical properties have been previously published by some of us [7,8,14,17]. A systematic analysis of the products obtained is carried out and the comparative study in the key stages of crystal growth. The influence of the following physicochemical parameters: electronic affinity, ionic radii, are associated in the chemical kinetics of the crystalline growth.

## **Experimental**

## Chemical equilibria associated with crystal growth

Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>, NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> inorganic nanocrystals, are systematically prepared applying the CBD technique [7,8,14,17]. It is important to point out that in the preparation of these nanocrystals, the parameters of crystalline growth are kept constant: pH, agitation, progenitor reagents, reaction time and temperature [18]. The chemical synthesis to prepare the inorganic nanocrystals, is shown in different stages proposed according to the following chemical balances:

Initially, the hydrolysis of thiourea dissociates in aqueous solution under RT conditions, generating  $HS^-$  y  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions, previously identified by the FTIR technique [7,8,14,17,19,20]

$$SC(NH_2)_2 + 3H_2O \rightarrow CO_3^{2-} + HS^- + 3NH_3$$
 (1).

The kinetic equilibrium in the capture of L-NH<sub>3</sub> molecules called ligands (L), as well as the simultaneous release of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules; according to the theory of coordination complexes, shows the following chemical equilibrium

$$[M(H_2O)_6]^{3+} + 6NH_3 \stackrel{T=23\,^\circ C}{\longleftrightarrow} [M(NH_3)_6]^{3+} + 6H_2O \quad (2).$$

The alkaline chemical synthesis medium (pH = 8.3-8.5) is achieved by mixing thiourea and potassium hydroxide (KOH), creating  $M(OH)_{3(aq)}$  colloidal suspension. The systematic addition of the ammonium nitrate solution (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) in the colloidal suspension, generates the color change of the mixture (depends on the type of cation  $M^{3+}$ ).

Under optimal experimental conditions, the coordination complex  $[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$  cation is generated indirectly and moderately [21]

$$M^{3+} + 6NH_3 \rightarrow [M(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$$
 (3).

The relative thermodynamic stability of  $[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$  cation, is governed by physicochemical properties (electron affinity, ionic radii, bond length, etc.) [22]. Additionally, also the coordination number and hydration enthalpy

**Table 1.** Hydration enthalpy  $(\Delta H^0_{hyd})$  for the Sm<sup>3+</sup>, Nd<sup>3+</sup>, Ce<sup>+3</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup> cations.

	() - ( <sup>1</sup>	$\Delta H_{hyd}^0$ (kJ/mol)	
Cation	Experimental	Calculations	
	$\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}$	1509	1480
	$Nd^{3+}$	3420	3460
	Ce <sup>3+</sup>	6300	6260
	$\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}$	3600	3640

 $(\nabla H^0_{hyd})$ , are parameters associated with chemical kinetics of crystal growth. The  $\nabla H^0_{hvd}$  of  $M^{3+}$  cation are compiled at Table 1. The semi empirical numerical values contemplated here, allow us to examine the correlation that exists at kinetics of crystal growth and the relative thermodynamic stability according to the equilibria presented at equations (2) and (3). The experimental control of the physicochemical parameters, ionic and molecular environment, relative stability of the  $M^{3+}$  cation (surrounded by six polar H<sub>2</sub>O molecules) requires further study for crystal growth to be understood more clearly [23]. Commonly, the primary hydration sphere made up of H<sub>2</sub>O (normally there are six), are chaotically oriented towards the M3+ cation. Most complexes with coordination number six have octahedral molecular symmetry. However, these geometries are not perfect octahedrons (six equal M-L distances and all angles are 90°) because they suffer from molecular distortion. Molecular distortion is a poorly studied geometric parameter and requires studies to be examined [24]. ( $\Delta H_{hyd}^0$ , is associated with the phenomenon of crystal growth in chemical thermodynamic processes. It is an intrinsic property in ionic compounds [25].

The key stage in crystal growth is based on the capture of  $OH^-$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions and the simultaneous slow release of NH<sub>3</sub> molecules, the following chemical equilibria are proposed

$$[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+} + 30H^- + CO_3^{2-} \to M(OH)_3 + CO_3^{2-}$$
(4)

$$[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+} + 30H^- + CO_3^{2-} \to MOHCO_3 + 20H^-$$
(5)

$$[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+} + 30H^- + CO_3^{2-} \to MO_2 + H_2O + CO_3^{2-}$$
(6)

$$[M(NH_3)_6]^{3+} + 30H^- + CO_3^{2-} \to M_2O_3 + CO_3^{2-} + H_2O$$
(7)

Comparing the numerical data of  $\nabla H_{hyd}^0$  compiled at Table 1, a semiempirical increase in capture of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules and the release of L-NH<sub>3</sub> molecules related to the relative thermodynamic stability according at following order: Sm<sup>3+</sup> < Nd<sup>3+</sup> < Ho<sup>3+</sup> < Ce<sup>3+</sup>. However, the increase shows some semi-empirical energetic correlation (except for the Ho<sup>3+</sup> cation). On the other hand, electron affinity is the energy released by a neutral gaseous atom, semi-empirically associated with its fundamental energy level by capturing an electron from some other chemical species. According to the periodic Table of the elements, the electronic affinity increases by decreasing the size of the atom, it increases from left to right and from the bottom to the top, with similar behavior in electronegativity. Table 2 shows the electron affinity and ionic radii of the cations examined here [26,27].



Table 2. Electron affinity and ionic radii of  $Sm^{3+},\,Nd^{3+},\,Ce^{+3},$  and  $Ho^{3+}$  cations.

Cation	Electron affinity (kJ/mol)	Ionic radii (Å)
Sm <sup>3+</sup>	109.1	1.08
$Nd^{3+}$	112.3	1.11
Ce <sup>3+</sup>	115.5	1.15
Ho <sup>3+</sup>	104.1	9.01

From the data compiled in Table 2, the semi-empirical correlation of electron affinity and ionic radii between Sm<sup>3+</sup>, Nd<sup>3+</sup>, C<sup>e+3</sup> and Ho<sup>3+</sup> cations is evident [27]. Adding the chemical analysis of  $\nabla H_{hyd}^0$  to what was previously discussed, it is possible in principle to associate the correlation in crystal growth. We found in preliminary reports that the ions of rare earths, synthesized by CBD technique present the order of capture of  $OH^{-1}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions; Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> > NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> > CeO<sub>2</sub> > Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. According to the semi-empirical analysis, a certain correlation is observed in the capture of the competing ions, favoring the order proposed here for the creation of the final product. Is a plausible explanation for the crystal growth nanocrystalline compounds [7,8,14,17].

#### Preparation of samples

Details of experimental equipment for CBD technique, have already been reported by us [7,8,14,17]. The precursor reagents used were KOH (0.1 M), NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (1.2 M), SC(NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.1 M). The water-soluble salts containing the Sm<sup>3+</sup>, Nd<sup>3+</sup>, Ce<sup>3+</sup>, and Ho<sup>3+</sup> cations at the corresponding molar concentration used are: Sm(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 M), Nd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 M), Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 M), and Ho(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. Individually, the powders are prepared following the same crystal growth technique in each case reported by some of us in previous reports [7,8,14,17]. All reagents were of ~99.9% purity (Baker). The solutions are mixed in the aforementioned order in a 250 ml



Figure 1. XRD diffractogram of samarium hydroxide Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals.

beaker in different ratios, maintaining the proportions of the volumes, solution concentrations, pH (~8.2-8.5), stirring and temperature of  $\sim 20$  °C were chosen, constants during the chemical synthesis. A precipitate-gel was obtained, which was filtered and washed several times with deionized water remove ionic remnants. Crystalline to structure characterization was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns registered in a Siemens D500 diffractometer, using the  $CuK_{\alpha}$  line. Grain size (GS) was calculated using the Debye-Scherer's formula: GS =  $k\lambda/\beta cos\theta$ , where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of X-ray radiation ( $\sim 0.154$  Å), k the Scherer's constant (k ~0.9),  $\theta$  (in radians) the characteristic X-ray radiation and  $\beta$  was the full-with-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of plane (in radians). Using the Williamson-Hall plot  $\beta cos\theta = k\lambda/\text{GS} + 4\varepsilon sin\theta$  equation, where  $\varepsilon$  is the strain, k a constant,  $\beta$  is the FWHM measured in radians and  $\theta$  is the Bragg angle of the reflection,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of X-ray used and  $\varepsilon$  is the strain of the crystallite.

## **Results and discussion**

Figure 1 shows the X-ray Diffraction (XRD) diffractogram samarium hydroxide Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>. The inorganic of nanomaterial is identified in the hexagonal crystalline phase (JCPDS 01-083-2036), which belongs to space group P63/m. Three crystalline angular reflections of small relative intensity are located at angular positions: 20 ~28.04°, ~30.80° and 47.0°, associated with (101), (210) and (210) crystal plane. Different crystalline planes of another material are not identified, which shows in principle that does not contain other than Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanocrystal. Experimental structural results are very close to those obtained by us; they were reported by Kang et. al. [28]. The broadening of crystalline reflections, weak relative intensity, is generally associated with crystals with nanometric dimensions, as well as the presence of distributed amorphous regions that are found in a disorderly manner in different regions of the nanocrystals. Understanding, atomic, molecular and/or ionic disorder interactions is key to achieving control of materials at the molecular level, which is essential for various areas of physics and for nanotechnology [29]. This structural phenomenon molecular and/or ionic disorder created intrinsically in crystal growth. Self-organization of atoms and molecules into crystals plays a key role in diverse areas of science and the environment. On the other hand, the three -OH groups (-Sm-OH--Sm-), generates weak short-range electrostatic interactions associated with van der Waals forces. However, weak in comparison to chemical bonds, their long-range nature and their collective effect play a strong role in the structure of molecules and their electrical interaction with surfaces [30]. A dislocation or Taylor's

Table 3. FWHM, GS and Dislocation density (δ) of Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> powders.

FWHM	Grain Size (nm)	Dislocation density, $\delta$ (×10 <sup>17</sup> lines/m <sup>2</sup> )
1.52	2.01	2.47
1.50	2.03	2.42
1.32	1.40	5.10

dislocation is a linear crystallographic defect or irregularity within a crystal structure that contains an abrupt change in the crystalline arrangement of ions or atoms [31]. Table 3 shows the full width at half-maximum (FWHM), GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub> powders, the GS located at range ~1.4-2.03 nm.

Figure 2 show XRD of neodymium hydroxycarbonate NdOHCO3 nanocrystals. The strong and sharp diffraction reflections indicate that the nanomaterial is well crystallized. The angular positions are located at  $2\theta \sim 18.00^{\circ}$ ,  $\sim 25.00^{\circ}$ , ~31.28°, ~36.00°, ~44.88°, ~49.40°, ~52.42°, ~53.93°, ~58.00°, ~62.50°, ~65.00°, ~70,00°, and ~73.00°, corresponding to (002), (300) (300), (302), (004), (304), (134), (600). (602), (334), (306), (604), (630) and (362) crystal planes, respectively. Can be indexed to hexagonal structure NdOHCO3 which are consistent with the data in the standard card (PDF 04-014-7918). Compared with the standard reflection, and those of (300), (302), (304), and (602) crystalline planes become strong. Thus, the changes in the relative intensity of the diffraction planes imply the orientation growth of NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> [32]. Also, reflections located at  $2\theta \sim 43.27^{\circ}$ , and  $\sim 67.20^{\circ}$  can be seen (they are shown by an asterisk at the top of the crystal plane), which are identified as belonging to Nd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> inorganic nanocrystal, a precursor reagent used in this work, not completely dissociated. A plausible explanation regarding the structural behavior of NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, a first approximation is proposed: The chemical -OH and  $CO_3^{2-}$  species in the nanocrystal, are located symmetrically surrounding Nd<sup>3+</sup> cation, the electrostatic interaction in the crystal boundary creates van der Waals forces associated with hydrogen bonds. In addition, the electrostatic interaction created by -OH and  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions located around the Nd<sup>3+</sup> cation, generated by the electron cloud in the crystalline environment associated with the distribution of the electronic cloud of concentrated charge favors the creation of well-defined nanocrystals [33]. In the crystal growth carried out in our experimental conditions, strong and compact crystal-crystal



Figure 2. XRD of neodymium hydroxycarbonate NdOHCO3 nanocrystals.

Table 4. FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals.

FWHM	Grain size	Dislocation density, δ
	(nm)	$(\times 10^{15} \text{ lines/m}^2)$
0.421	19.60	2.60
0.475	17.58	3.23
0.59	14.33	4.68
0.456	18.83	2.81
0.404	22.25	2.01

ordering is generated. The -Nd-O-H<sup>...</sup>H-O-Nd- chemical bonds, must be less favored with respect to the -Sm-OH<sup>...</sup>HO-Sm- bonds, therefore; crystal growth shows higher relative order. Table 4 shows FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals, the GS located at range ~14.33 - 22. 25 nm.

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal. Four broad crystalline reflections are observed, located at the following angular positions: 20 ~28.13°, 32.75°, 47.25° and 56.35°. XRD patterns from  $2\theta \sim 20-80$  range exhibit main crystalline plane, which are assigned to the (111), (200), (220) and (311) crystalline planes of CeO2. XRD pattern of CeO<sub>2</sub> shows broad reflections, which confirmed the formation of small-sized nanoparticles. The XRD results indicate that the CeO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal, the patterns are in good agreement with a cubic structure (space group, Fm3hm (No. 225 from bulk CeO<sub>2</sub>) (JCPDS Card No. 75-0076). In principle, it is possible to intuit the structural behavior associated with the phenomenon of electronic charge transfer from Ce<sup>4+</sup> cation to the nearest neighbor according to  $Ce^{4+} \rightarrow Ce^{3+} + e^{-}$ . The O<sup>2-</sup>-Ce<sup>4+</sup> charge transfer, we propose to attribute electronic intra-transitions on isolated Ce<sup>3+</sup> ions in CeO<sub>2</sub>. The transfer of charge from one cation to another indexes the growth of nanocrystals favorably [34]. However, having been studied almost exclusively by chemists, molecular crystals still lack the appropriate investigations that reliably evaluate their reproducibility and some important drawbacks have diverted the interest of engineers from these crystals in science applications [35]. The structural phenomenon associated with load transfer correlates with the decrease in GS according to our



Figure 3. XRD of cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>) nanocrystal.

Table 5. FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of CeO<sub>2</sub> powders.

FWHM	Grain Size (nm)	Dislocation density, $\delta$ (×10 <sup>17</sup> lines/m <sup>2</sup> )
2.96	2.28	1.93
2.78	3.05	1.07
2.64	2.60	1.47
3.08	2.25	1.97
3.66	1.65	3.67

preliminary report [34]. The crystalline structure is favored by creating nanocrystals and additionally amorphous regions are generated as a first approximation by disordered electrostatic interactions that have a short-range Ce=O···Ce=O, weaker than those presented by -Nd-OH···OH-Nd- and Sm.-OH···HO-Sm- interactions. Their conformation strongly depends on both the charge density and distribution along the sequence (i.e., charge decoration) as highlighted by recent experimental and theoretical studies that have introduced novel descriptors [36]. Table 5 shows FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of CeO<sub>2</sub> powders, the GS is located at range ~1.65-3.03 nm.

Figure 4 shows the diffractograms of X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) of Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals. The materials show crystalline reflections correspond to Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the cubic phase (00-067-0222 JCPDS). No reflections other than are observed. The diffraction planes located at 20 ~29.10°,  $\sim 44.00^{\circ}$  and  $\sim 53.20^{\circ}$  correspond to (222), (134) and (440) planes of Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cubic phase, respectively [37]. Problems like polymorphic transitions, ions migration, slow crystallization and formation of crystalline aggregates stand out. Therefore, studies have focused on controlling the crystallization in order to determine the functionality of crystals.. A plausible explanation of the crystalline and structural behavior is associated with the nucleation time, this generates that the small nanocoloids induce the formation of crystal small and prevent rapid growth to larger dimensions. The affecting chemical and physical parameters



Figure 4. XRD of Holmium oxide Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals.

**Table 6.** FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders.

FWHM	Grain Size (nm)	Dislocation density, $\delta$ (×10 <sup>16</sup> lines/m <sup>2</sup> )
1.52	6.08	2.70
1.50	3.72	7.22
1.32	5.64	3.14

factors (including nucleation temperature, freezing rate, and temperature fluctuation, etc.) on the size, number, distribution, and shape of crystals are also examined in detail [38]. The physicochemical phenomenon associated with crystal growth carried out systematically in aqueous solution, shows the importance of the parameters compiled in Tables 1 and 2, in which they are tentatively compared with each other semi-empirically. The approximate theoretical-experimental correlation with the chemical kinetic model examined here is observed. The kinetics of crystal growth is postulated semi-empirically with the change associated with the thermodynamic stability of the nanocrystal and the relative increase in GS, which presents the following order: Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub><Ho(OH)<sub>3</sub><CeO<sub>2</sub><NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> in the experimental conditions applied in the crystalline growth carried out in aqueous solution. Table 5 show FWHM, GS and Dislocation density ( $\delta$ ) of Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the GS is located at range ~3.72-6.08 nm.

Using the Williamson-Hall (W-H) plot, defined by  $\beta cos\theta = k\lambda/GS + 4\epsilon sin\theta$  equation, where  $\epsilon$  is the strain, *k* a constant,  $\beta$  is the FWHM measured in radians and  $\theta$  is the Bragg angle of the reflection,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of X-ray used [21]. It can be determined from the slope (4 $\epsilon$ ) and from



Figure 5.  $\beta cos$  vs  $4sin\theta$  plots for NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals.

the intercept ( $k\lambda$ /GS), respectively. The  $\beta cos$  vs  $4sin\theta$  plots for Sm(OH)<sub>3</sub>, NdOHCO<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals powders are showed in Figure 5. The nearly flat W-H straight lines show that the nanomaterials possess less  $\varepsilon$ . The experimental results presented here do not exactly fit the linear behavior, which may be due to anisotropic variation in the residual  $\varepsilon$ . This disordered behavior is associated with the gradual incorporation ions and cation into the crystalline structure with a drastic change in the anisotropy, as was expected into the crystalline lattice. The  $\varepsilon$  is very much reduced in the smaller particles; a trend that is difficult to achieve in the processing of nanocrystals, and one can notice the smaller stress obtained in NdOHCO<sub>3</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples. The anisotropy could be modified although the behavior will be for a deeper analysis to be done in due course.

# Conclusions

The CBD technique is versatile, it presents physicochemical parameters of easy experimental control, and this allows us to semi-empirically compare the structural properties of the obtained nanocrystal. The chemical kinetics of crystal growth proposed in this report shows a certain approximate correlation with the physicochemical properties of the ions and/or cation examined here. However, the semiempirical experimental results are not very far from each other when compared with the theoretical and the experimental part. According to the experimental results successfully obtained in this report, it is possible to obtain a semi-empirical correlation of the parameters associated with crystal growth: ionic radii, hydration enthalpy and electronic affinity of  $M^{3+}$  cation ( $M^{3+} = Sm^{3+}$ ,  $Nd^{3+}$ ,  $Ce^{3+}$  and  $Ho^{3+}$ ), in principle they adjust to each other experimentally theoretical in an approximate way. The nanocrystals of these inorganic compounds grow with GS located at range ~1.04-22.25 nm, which is of interest to investigate the quantum confinement phenomenon, as well as for the application in the construction of optoelectronic devices. The Dislocation density  $(\delta)$  shows that the nanocrystals present intrinsic crystalline defects (vacancies, interstices, stacking faults and grain boundaries), in semi-empirical correlation with the M<sup>3+</sup> cation, these can be controlled experimentally.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Centro de Investigación en Dispositivos semiconductores CIDS BUAP for the support provided for this research.

## References

[1]. A. Escudero, A.I. Becerro, C.C. Carrión, N.O. Núñez, M.V. Zyuzin, M. Laguna, D.G. Mancebo, M. Ocaña, W.J. Parak, *Nanophotonics* 6, 881 (2017).

[2]. M. Shafiq, I. Ahmad, S.J. Asadabadi, <u>J. Appl. Phys. 116</u>, 103905 (2014).

[3]. P.C. de S. Filho, J.F. Lima, O.A. Serra, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 26, <u>2471 (2015)</u>.

[4]. N. Baig, I. Kammakakam, W. Falath, *Mater. Adv.* 2, 1821 (2021).

[5]. Z. Gao, S. Rohani, J. Gong, J. Wang, *Engineering* **3**, 343 (2017).

[6]. Z. Gao, X. Liu, C. Zhang, Y. Ren, <u>*Cryst. Growth Des.* 22, 2050</u> (2022).

[7]. O. Portillo Moreno, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, R. Palomino Merino,
 M. Chávez Portillo, G. Hernández Téllez, E. Rubio Rosas, M. Zamora Tototzintle, *Optik* 148, 142 (2017).

[8]. O. Portillo Moreno, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, R. Palomino Merino,
 G. Hernández Téllez, M. Chávez Portillo, M.N. Márquez Especia,
 E. Rubio Rosas, H. Azucena Coyotécatl, *Optik* 130, 1045 (2017).

[9]. M. Powell, L.D. Sanjeewa, C.D. McMillen, K.A. Ross, C.L. Sarkis, J.W. Kolis, <u>Cryst. Growth Des. 19, 4920 (2019)</u>.

[10]. I. Milisavljevic, Y. Wu, <u>BMC Mater. 2, 2 (2020)</u>.

[11]. L. Spiridigliozzi, C. Ferone, R. Cioffi, M. Bortolotti, G. Dell'Agl, *Materials* **12**, 2062 (2019).

[12]. P.Y.A. Guillén, O.V. Kharissova, R. Selvas, B.I. Kharisov, *Curr. Nanomater.* 4, 39 (2019).

[13]. K. Takagi, Y. Hirayama, S. Okada, W. Yamaguchi, K. Ozaki, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mate.* **22**, 150 (2021).

[14]. O. Portillo Moreno, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, R. Palomino Merino,
M. Chávez Portillo, M.N. Márquez Specia, M. Hernández Hernández, S. Solis Sauceda, E. Rubio Rosas, *Optik* 135, 70 (2017).
[15]. M. Chávez Portillo, O. Portillo Moreno, E. Rubio Rosas, M. Zamora Tototzintle, R. Palomino Merino, G. Hernández Téllez, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, *Mater. Lett.* 151, 134 (2015).

[16]. O. Portillo Moreno, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, M. Chávez Portillo,
 M.E. Araiza García, G.E. Moreno, S. Cruz Cruz, E. Rubio Rosas,
 M. Hernández Lazcano, *Optik* 157, 388 (2018).

[17]. L. Serrano de la Rosa, M. Chávez Portillo, M.A. Mora-Ramírez, V. Carranza Téllez, M. Pacio Castillo, H. Juárez Santiesteban, A. Cortés Santiago, O. Portillo Moreno, <u>Optik 216</u>, 164875 (2020).

[18]. S.H. Anastasiadis, K. Chrissopoulou, E. Stratakis, P. Kavatzikidou, G. Kaklamani, A. Ranella, *Nanomater.* **12**, 552 (2022).

[19]. R. Gutiérrez Pérez, O. Portillo Moreno, M. Chávez Portillo, L. Chaltel Lima, R. Agustín Serrano, E. Rubio Rosas, M. Zamora Tototzintle, *Mater. Lett.* **160**, 488 (2015).

[20]. O. Portillo Moreno, R. Gutiérrez Pérez, R. Palomino Merino, H. Santiesteban Juárez, S. Tehuacanero Cuapa, E. Rubio Rosas, *Mater. Sci. in Semicon. Proces.* **72**, 22 (2017).

[21]. R.P. Sharma, R. Bala, R. Sharma, U. Rychlewska, B. Warżajtis, J. Fluor. Chem. 126, 967 (2005).

[22]. P.R. Varadwaj, I. Cukrowski, H.M. Marques, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u> A **112**, 10657 (2008).

[23]. E. Brini, C.J. Fennell, M.F. Serra, B.H. Lee, M. Luksič, K.A. Dill, *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 12385 (2017).

[24]. O. Portillo Moreno, O.R. Portillo Araiza, M. Chávez Portillo,
 V. Carranza Téllez, M.A. Vicencio Garrido, <u>*Optik* 251</u>, 168470 (2022).

[25]. M. Misin, M.V. Fedorov, D.S. Palmer, <u>J. Phys. Chem. B 120</u>, 975 (2016).

[26]. R.D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. A 32, 751 (1976).

[27]. J.M. Bijvoet, W.G. Burgers, G. Hägg, <u>Atomic (ionic) Radii</u> and Building Principles. In: *Early Papers on Diffraction of X-rays by Crystals*, Eds. J.M. Bijvoet, W.G. Burgers, G. Hägg (Springer, <u>Boston, 1972)</u>.

[28]. J.G. Kang, B.K. Min, Y. Sohn, <u>J. Mater. Sci. 50, 1958 (2015)</u>.
[29]. F.L. Leite, C.C. Bueno, A.L.D. Róz, E.C. Ziemath, O.N. Oliveira Jr., <u>Int. J. Mol. Sci. 13, 12773 (2012)</u>.

[30]. P.O. Bedolla, G. Feldbauer, M. Wolloch, S.J. Eder, N. Dörr,
 P. Mohn, J. Redinger, A. Vernes, <u>J. Phys. Chem. C 118</u>, 17608 (2014).

[31]. D. Hull, D.J. Bacon, *Introduction to dislocations*, 4th ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, 2001).

[32]. X. Shang, W. Lu, B. Yue, L. Zhang, J. Ni, Y. Iv, Y. Feng, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 9, 1415 (2009).

© Sociedad Mexicana de Ciencia y Tecnología de Superficies y Materiales

[33]. M.A. Boles, M. Engel, D.V. Talapin, <u>Chem. Rev. 116, 11220</u> (2016).

[34]. M. Chávez Portillo, O. Portillo Moreno, M.A. Mora-Ramírez,
H. Juárez Santiesteban, C. Bueno Avendaño, Y. Panecatl Bernal, *Optik* 248, 168178 (2021).

[35]. P. Naumov, D.P. Karothu, E. Ahmed, L. Catalano, P. Commins, J.M. Halabi, M.B.A. Handawi, L. Li, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> **142**, 13256 (2020).

[36]. G. Bianchi, S. Longhi, R. Grandori, S. Brocca, <u>Int. J. Mol. Sci.</u> 21, 6208 (2020).

[37]. Y. Fan, C. Miao, Y. Yue, W. Hua, Z. Gao, <u>*Catalysts* 11, 388</u> (2021).

[38]. M. Tan, J. Mei, J. Xie, Crystals 11, 68 (2021).

 $\bigcirc$  2023 by the authors; licensee SMCTSM, Mexico. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).